hep-ph/9307205

The origin of the sphaleron dipole moment

Mark Hindmarsh a)

and

Margaret James

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics

University of Cambridge

Silver Street

Cambridge CB3 9EW U.K.

ABSTRACT

By providing a suitable definition of the electromagnetic field off the Higgs vacuum, we show that within the sphaleron there is a monopole-antimonopole pair with quantized charges, and a loop of electromagnetic current. On integration of the relevant charges and currents over the interior in the limit of small Θ_W , we recover the standard formula for the sphaleron dipole moment.

^{a)} Present address: School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton, BN1 9QH, U.K.

1. Introduction. The sphaleron is an unstable static solution of the bosonic sector of the standard model. Klinkhamer and Manton [1] studied the sphaleron setting the Weinberg angle, Θ_W , to zero, and then for small Θ_W by performing a perturbation expansion to first order in $\tan \Theta_W$. The sphaleron of the pure SU(2) gauge-Higgs theory is axisymmetric and has a spherically symmetric energy density, the latter arising from a hidden SO(4) symmetry. For non-zero Weinberg angle, the sphaleron has a large magnetic moment μ , which is of the order $e/\alpha_W M_W$, where $\alpha_W = g^2/4\pi$. This is $O(\alpha_W^{-1})$ times the dipole moment of the W. Further studies [2,3,4] have confirmed this result and have also shown that the energy density contours become prolate for non-zero Θ_W .

In this paper we examine the internal structure of the sphaleron for physical values of the Weinberg angle, in particular the way in which the gauge fields conspire to produce a long-range electromagnetic dipole field. We build on work by Nambu [5] to show that the sphaleron owes its dipole moment not only to a loop of electric current but also to a magnetic monopole-antimonopole pair. We are also able to use our knowledge of the inner structure of the sphaleron to gain some qualitative understanding of its shape at finite mixing angle. Roughly speaking, the monopoles are joined by a tube of Z-flux: as $\Theta_W \to \pi/2$, this tube gets thinner, resulting in the prolate shape. Although it is currently difficult to envisage experiments which probe the interior of the sphaleron, we expect our studies to be a useful contribution to the understanding of what we mean by the electromagnetic field off the vacuum.

Nambu [5] developed a procedure for solving the gauge field equations in the Higgs vacuum. In order for the configuration to be interesting, the Higgs field must have singularities, else it could be everywhere gauge transformed to a constant. The physical content of the field configuration is then derived by examining the (possibly singular) fluxes through various surfaces. Then one appeals to the full dynamics of the original field equations to smear out the singularities. An essentially equivalent procedure was developed independently by Manton for the SO(3) Higgs theory [6].

Nambu considered two specific cases. The first represented an isolated magnetic monopole attached to an semi-infinite electroweak Z-string. (The infinite electroweak Z-string was

rediscovered independently and shown to be a solution by Vachaspati [7].) He then considered terminating the string on an anti-monopole and conjectured that the attractive force between the two could be balanced by spinning the two poles relative to each other, and a quasi-stationary solution obtained. The long range magnetic dipole field of this configuration resembles the sphaleron, and so we are led to ask if there is a connection between this configuration and the sphaleron (an issue that has also been raised in references [8]). The answer turns out to be a qualified yes: in the limit that the length of the string tends to zero we recover the true vacuum, but we nevertheless find that part of the sphaleron dipole moment is due to two regions of opposite magnetic charge. We show that the magnetic charge is partly topological in origin, for a winding number emerges when we restict ourselves to axisymmetric, parity invariant configuration. The poles do not fully explain the dipole moment, for we also find a loop of electric current. We calculate the charge and current distributions for the small Θ_W sphaleron and recover the correct value for the dipole moment calculated in [1].

2. The electroweak sphaleron. In the temporal gauge the energy functional for static bosonic fields in electroweak theory is given by

$$E = \int \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{ij}^a F_{ij}^a + \frac{1}{4} f_{ij} f_{ij} + (D_i \Phi)^{\dagger} (D_i \Phi) + \lambda (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - \frac{1}{2} v^2)^2 \right) d^3 x, \tag{1a}$$

where

$$F_{ij}^a = \partial_i W_j^a - \partial_j W_i^a + g \epsilon^{abc} W_i^b W_j^c \tag{2a}$$

$$f_{ij} = \partial_i a_j - \partial_j a_i \tag{2b}$$

$$D_i \Phi = \partial_i \Phi - \frac{1}{2} i g \tau^a W_i^a \Phi - \frac{1}{2} i g' a_i \Phi . \qquad (2c)$$

We have taken $T^a = -\frac{1}{2}i\tau^a$ as our basis for L(SU(2)) with $[T^a, T^b] = \epsilon^{abc}T^c$. The Weinberg angle is given by $\tan \Theta_W = g'/g$. The semiclassical masses of the W, Z, and Higgs bosons are, respectively,

$$M_W = \frac{1}{2}gv, \qquad M_Z = \frac{1}{2}g\sec\Theta_W v, \qquad M_H = \sqrt{2\lambda}v.$$
 (3)

The fields are in the Higgs vacuum if the Higgs field is on its vacuum manifold $\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi = v^2/2$, and in addition its covariant derivative (2c) vanishes. (This is distinguished from the vacuum where we would further require $F_{ij}^a = 0$ and $f_{ij} = 0$.) Following Nambu [5], we give a covariant definition of the mixing formula, in the Higgs vacuum, which reduces to the usual formula in the unitary gauge (where the upper component of the Higgs is zero and the lower component is one). Defining a normalised isotriplet field

$$\hat{\phi}^a = \Phi^{\dagger} \tau^a \Phi / \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi ,$$

we have

$$F_{ij}^{em} = -\sin\Theta_W F_{ij}^a \hat{\phi}^a + \cos\Theta_W f_{ij} ,$$

$$F_{ij}^Z = -\cos\Theta_W F_{ij}^a \hat{\phi}^a - \sin\Theta_W f_{ij} .$$
(4)

The field equations are

$$D_j F_{ij}^a = -\frac{1}{2} i g (\Phi^{\dagger} \tau^a D_i \Phi - (D_i \Phi)^{\dagger} \tau^a \Phi)$$
 (5a)

$$\partial_j f_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} i g' \left(\Phi^{\dagger} D_i \Phi - (D_i \Phi)^{\dagger} \Phi \right) \tag{5b}$$

$$D_i D_i \Phi = 2\lambda (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - \frac{1}{2} v^2) \Phi . \tag{5c}$$

The sphaleron solution is axially symmetric and is invariant under parity (for details of the construction of the solution for $0 \le \Theta_W \le \frac{\pi}{2}$ see [2]). First we concentrate on the sphaleron at small Weinberg angle, treating it as a perturbation of the $\Theta_W = 0$ sphaleron, since the ansatz is very simple and most of the physics is incorporated. We shall indicate the extension of our arguments and results. Formally we expand in $\tan \Theta_W$ and we give the sphaleron configuration to first order in g'/g (we are taking g fixed while g' varies),

$$\Phi = h(\xi) \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ e^{i\varphi} \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}, \qquad g' a_i dx^i = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{g'}{g} \right)^2 p(\xi) \xi^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi$$

$$gW_i dx^i = f(\xi) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\varphi} \\ -e^{i\varphi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} d\theta + if(\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta & -\cos \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ -\cos \theta e^{i\varphi} & -\sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \sin \theta d\varphi$$
 (6)

where $\xi = gvr$.

Inserting this ansatz into the field equations (5) yields the coupled equations

$$\xi^2 \frac{d^2 f}{d\xi^2} = 2f(1-f)(1-2f) - \frac{\xi^2}{4}h^2(1-f) \tag{7a}$$

$$\xi^2 \frac{d^2 p}{d\xi^2} + 4\xi \frac{dp}{d\xi} = -h^2 (1 - f) \tag{7b}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^2 \frac{dh}{d\xi} \right) = 2h(1 - f)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{g^2} \xi^2 (h^2 - 1)h , \qquad (7c)$$

with boundary conditions $f \to \alpha \xi^2$, $h \to \beta \xi$, and $p \to a$ as $\xi \to 0$. As $\xi \to \infty$, $p \to b/\xi^3$, while f and h tend to 1 exponentially. The constants α , β , a, and b are all O(1), and are determined on integration of the equations.

Asymptotically the magnetic vector potential is given, in the unitary gauge, by $\vec{a} = \vec{\mu} \wedge \vec{x}/4\pi r^3$, which is a dipole field with moment $\vec{\mu} = (0, 0, \mu)$ and strength

$$\mu = \frac{2\pi}{3} \frac{g'}{g^3 v} \int_0^\infty \xi^2 h^2(\xi) (1 - f(\xi)) d\xi \ . \tag{8}$$

The energy density, to this order, is spherically symmetric. Further studies [2,3,4] show that, as Θ_W increases, the energy density of the sphaleron becomes prolate. Also they indicate that, for quite a large range of Θ_W (certainly including the physical value $\sin^2 \Theta_W = 0.23$), the magnetic dipole moment goes as $\mu \sim e/(\alpha_W M_W) \times constant$ where the constant depends on the Higgs mass. For reasonable values it is of order unity.

When $\Theta_W \neq 0$ we must relax the symmetry restrictions and consider a general axisymmetric and parity invariant ansatz. The equatorial $(\theta = \pi/2)$ slice in the gauge choice of [2] is

$$\Phi = h(\xi) \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \qquad g' a_i dx^i = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{g'}{g} \right)^2 p(\xi) \xi^2 d\varphi$$

$$gW_i dx^i = f_1(\xi) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\varphi} \\ -e^{i\varphi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} d\theta + i f_2(\xi) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} d\varphi, \tag{9}$$

We note that as far as the Higgs and Z fields are concerned this is also the ansatz for the electroweak Z-string [6,7]. There is also a superficial resemblance to the monopole-antimonopole configurations studied by Nambu.

3. Monopole-antimonopole configurations. In [5] Nambu developed a procedure for solving the gauge field equations in regions where the Higgs field is in the vacuum. With his procedure, the configuration is only interesting if Φ is singular somewhere, else it is gauge equivalent to the vacuum. Correspondingly, the gauge fields will also have singularities where the Higgs field vanishes. The true solution of the constrained field equations (a constraint must be imposed to ensure that Φ continues to vanish on the singular points), smooths out the singularities. However, as Nambu asserted, much of the interesting physics, including what the configuration actually represents e.g. monopole, string can be deduced from the singular case by the computation of fluxes through suitably chosen surfaces. Nambu's method is actually a way of choosing the gauge in the electroweak Higgs vacuum, similar to one developed by Manton for SO(3) [6].

The procedure is to solve

$$D_i \Phi = 0 \tag{10}$$

to obtain expressions for the gauge potentials in terms of the Higgs field. The result is

$$gW_i^a = -\epsilon^{abc}\hat{\phi}^b\partial_i\hat{\phi}^c - i\chi\hat{\phi}^a(\Phi^\dagger\partial_i\Phi - \partial_i\Phi^\dagger\Phi) - g\sin\Theta_W\hat{\phi}^a\alpha_i ,$$

$$g'a_i = -i\eta(\Phi^\dagger\partial_i\Phi - \partial_i\Phi^\dagger\Phi) + g'\cos\Theta_W\alpha_i$$
(11)

where $\chi + \eta = 1$. The vector function α_i is undetermined, for the abelian part of the gauge field F_{ij}^{em} is not fixed by $D_i \Phi = 0$ alone. Following Manton [6] it is possible to show that setting $\alpha_i = 0$ is a gauge choice in which the isovector Higgs field $\hat{\phi}^a$ is constant along the lines of electromagnetic flux computed from the potentials (11): that is, $B_i^{em} \partial_i \hat{\phi}^a = 0$. In this gauge we cannot write down an arbitrary form for the Higgs field, for the resulting electromagnetic field must satisfy the vacuum Maxwell's equations.

Nambu considered first the configuration

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \\ \sin\frac{\theta}{2}e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix},\tag{12}$$

which is singular on the line $\theta = \pi$. The resulting gauge potentials are

$$gW_i^a = \epsilon^{aij} x_j / r^2 + \chi \epsilon^{ij3} x_a x_j / r^2 (r+z)$$

$$g'a_i = -\eta \epsilon^{ij3} x_j / r(r+z)$$
(13)

If one computes the SU(2) flux $F_{ij}^a \hat{\phi}^a$ and the U(1) flux f_{ij} , both out of the origin and along the singularity, one finds that the SU(2) gauge field is that of a magnetic monopole of charge $-4\pi/g$. A portion, $-4\pi\eta/g$, of the flux spreads out and the rest, $-4\pi\chi/g$, is confined to the tube. The U(1) field, while sourceless, gives rise to a spreading monopole field, the flux $4\pi\eta/g'$ being precisely compensated by the returned flux $4\pi\eta/g'$ in the string. The net effect is a spreading field due to a magnetic charge $Q = 4\pi\eta/e$, and a flux tube on the negative z-axis containing a mixture of an electromagnetic flux and a quantised Z-flux, given respectively by

$$\frac{4\pi}{e}(-\chi\sin^2\Theta_W + \eta\cos^2\Theta_W), \qquad \frac{4\pi}{e}\sin\Theta_W\cos\Theta_W. \tag{14}$$

Note that in order to compute the flux along the singularity, Nambu uses Stokes' theorem and hence implicitly assumes the abelianization of the field tensors within the singularity, i.e., where the fields are off the Higgs vacuum. This assumption is commonly made in the literature for strings, and we shall see its importance later. The Z-flux is quantised as a result of the 2π phase change of the Higgs field around the string, while the electromagnetic flux in the tube is taken to be zero (thus the singular line is essentially an electroweak string [7]). Therefore the condition that there is no electromagnetic flux in line singularities fixes $\eta = \sin^2 \Theta_W$. Hence we have a monopole with magnetic charge

$$Q = \frac{4\pi}{e} \sin^2 \Theta_W = \frac{e}{\alpha_W} \tag{15}$$

and a Z-string on the negative z-axis. This configuration has infinite energy, but Nambu envisaged constructing finite energy configurations by taking a monopole-antimonopole pair of this charge separated by a finite length of Z-string. He then conjectured that a quasi-stationary solution to the bosonic sector of the Standard Model could be constructed by spinning this 'dumb-bell' so that the string tension would be counteracted by the centrifugal force on the poles. We have in mind a different possibility, for if the poles were separated by a distance M_W^{-1} , the natural length scale of the problem, it would then have a dipole moment of the same order and with the same Θ_W dependence as the sphaleron. This leads us to investigate whether the sphaleron can be viewed as a monopole-antimonopole pair.

In Nambu's gauge the singular Higgs field representing a monopole-antimonopole pair situated on the z axis at $z = \pm d$, and connected by a string, is

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \Theta \\ \sin \Theta e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \tag{16}$$

where $\cos 2\Theta = \cos \theta_+ - \cos \theta_- + 1$, and θ_\pm is the polar angle seen from the poles [8]. The field is singular on the line joining the two points. The fluxes, both SU(2) and U(1), out of the northern and southern hemispheres at infinity are zero. The total U(1) flux through the equatorial plane is zero. The SU(2) flux downward through the equatorial plane is $-4\pi/g$, and so the electromagnetic flux is $4\pi \sin \Theta_W/g$ as expected. However, in the limit $d \to 0$ where the singular line reduces to a point we recover the vacuum $(\Phi = (1,0)^T, W_i^a = 0 = a_i)$, rather than the sphaleron, which has a long range dipole field. Nevertheless, we are still prompted to ask if there are any monopoles lurking in the sphaleron supplying its dipole moment. To answer the question we must equip ourselves with definitions of the electromagnetic and Z field tensors in the interior of the sphaleron, where the fields are not in the Higgs vacuum.

4. The origin of the sphaleron dipole moment. Coleman [9] makes it clear that there is no unambiguous definition of the electromagnetic field off the Higgs vacuum. Different choices correspond to different idealised magnetometers. Hence we are free to make our choice subject to the constraint that on the Higgs vacuum it reduces to (4) and that the field tensor is gauge invariant. The choice we make is that (4) applies everywhere except where the Higgs field vanishes. This appears to us to be natural; it gives rise to simple formulae and the physics involves monopoles of quantised charge. 't Hooft [10] gives a different definition with a non-physical singularity at the origin, which we shall discuss in the last section.

The usual mixing formula arises from diagonalizing the mass matrix for the gauge fields in the background of the Higgs field, on the vacuum manifold, in the unitary gauge. The electromagnetic field is then associated with the massless field. However we may equally well perform such a diagonalization in the background of any non-vanishing Φ . The relevant

terms, arising from the $(D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}(D^{\mu}\Phi)$ term in the lagrangian, are

$$\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\left(g^2W_i^aW_i^a + 2gg'W_i^aa_i\hat{\phi}^a + g'^2a_i\right). \tag{17}$$

Equivalently we can note that for any non-zero Φ , $(\mathbb{F} + \hat{\phi}^a \tau^a)$ generates a U(1) symmetry which leaves Φ invariant. If we define the massive Z-field and massless electromagnetic field by

$$Z_{i} = -\cos\Theta_{W}W_{i}^{a}\hat{\phi}^{a} - \sin\Theta_{W}a_{i}$$

$$A_{i} = -\sin\Theta_{W}W_{i}^{a}\hat{\phi}^{a} + \cos\Theta_{W}a_{i}$$
(18)

then the gauge invariant field strength tensors are given for all $\Phi \neq 0$ by

$$F_{ij}^{Z} = \partial_i Z_j - \partial_j Z_i - \frac{1}{g} \cos \Theta_W (\hat{\phi}^a \partial_i \hat{\phi}^b \partial_j \hat{\phi}^c - \hat{\phi}^a D_i \hat{\phi}^b D_j \hat{\phi}^c) \epsilon^{abc}, \tag{19a}$$

$$F_{ij}^{em} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i - \frac{1}{q} \sin \Theta_W (\hat{\phi}^a \partial_i \hat{\phi}^b \partial_j \hat{\phi}^c - \hat{\phi}^a D_i \hat{\phi}^b D_j \hat{\phi}^c) \epsilon^{abc}. \tag{19b}$$

We see explicitly that away from the Higgs vacuum the fields are non-abelian due to the SU(2) contribution. There, the usual Maxwell equations are no longer satisfied. Defining the SU(2) magnetic field

$$B_i^{SU(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} (F_{jk}^a \hat{\phi}^a) \tag{20}$$

then

$$\nabla \cdot B^{SU(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} F^a_{jk} D_i \hat{\phi}^a = \rho_m$$

is an SU(2) magnetic charge density which will in general be non-vanishing. Via the mixing formula an *electromagnetic* magnetic charge density is obtained,

$$\rho_m^{em} = -\sin\Theta_W \rho_m. \tag{21}$$

Using the field equations (5) we obtain the electromagnetic current

$$J_i^{em} = \partial_j F_{ij}^{em} = -\sin\Theta_W F_{ij}^a D_j \hat{\phi}^a. \tag{22}$$

The volume integral over $z \ge 0$ of the charge (21) may be written via Gauss's theorem as the integral of the related magnetic field (20) over the northern hemisphere at infinity plus the integral over the equatorial plane. Asymptotically the gauge field is a dipole and hence the integral over the hemisphere vanishes. For the integral over the plane, we find that the field abelianises there, and so

$$B_i^{SU(2)} = -(\nabla \wedge W^3)_i . (23)$$

Hence using Stokes' theorem the integral is written as the integral of W_i^3 round the circle at infinity, which is just $4\pi/g$ times the winding number of the lower component of the Higgs, which is 1. Repeating the procedure in the lower hemisphere results in the integration being taken the other way around the circle. The fact that we obtain the 'correct' quantized value $-4\pi/g$ for the total SU(2) charge in $z \geq 0$ (and $+4\pi/g$ for $z \leq 0$) indicates underlying topological considerations. In deriving this result we have assumed nothing except that the field configuration is axisymmetric and parity invariant, so that the equatorial slice has the form (9) [2]. This class of configurations encompasses the sphaleron at all values of Θ_W . We have found that for all such configurations the magnetic charge is quantized: it is in this restricted sense that the charge is topological. The integral of ρ_m^{em} in the upper (lower) hemisphere of the sphaleron is $-(+)4\pi \sin \Theta_W/g$. Recalling Nambu's model of the isolated monopole and string we note that the implicit assumption of the abelianization of the fields off the Higgs vacuum is essential in using Stokes' theorem to compute the fluxes.

We know the total charge in the lower and upper hemispheres for the sphaleron but we need to find the charge distribution and the current (22) in order to calculate the magnetic dipole moment. Again, we shall work with the small Θ_W configuration (6). We obtain for the magnetic charge density, ρ_{em} , and the electromagnetic current (to first order in g'/g)

$$\rho_{em} = 8g' \cos \theta \frac{df}{dr} \frac{(1-f)}{g^2 r^2},\tag{24a}$$

$$\vec{J}^{em} = 8g' \frac{f(f-1)^2}{g^2 r^4} (-y, x, 0). \tag{24b}$$

As a check, integrating the magnetic charge density over the northern (southern) hemisphere gives $\pm 4\pi g'/g^2$ as expected. (In Figure 1 we exhibit these charge and current densities, using a numerical computation of the function f [4].) The definition of the dipole moment is

$$\vec{\mu} = \int \left(\vec{x} \rho^{em} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{x} \wedge \vec{J}^{em} \right) d^3 x . \tag{25}$$

On substituting (24) we find $\vec{\mu} = (0, 0, \mu)$ where the strength μ is given by

$$\mu = \frac{32\pi g'}{3g^2} \int_0^\infty \left[r \frac{df}{dr} (1 - f) + f (1 - f)^2 \right] dr . \tag{26}$$

The charge and the current contribute 70% and 30% respectively. Furthermore, on integration by parts and using the field equations, we recover, after a little algebra, expression (8) for μ .

This result lends weight to our definitions of ρ_m^{em} and \vec{J}^{em} , and with them we are able to build a physical picture of the source of the sphaleron dipole moment. The sphaleron contains a monopole-antimonopole pair encircled by a ring of current. The monopoles are joined by a short tube of Z flux, for in the equatorial plane

$$B_i^Z = -\frac{2}{gr}\frac{df}{dr}\delta_i^3,\tag{27}$$

which is in the -z direction, peaked at the origin, and decays exponentially away from it. 5. Discussion. We can use our new picture of the sphaleron to gain a qualitative understanding of the sphaleron in the $\Theta_W \to \pi/2$ limit, which is problematic for numerical approaches [2]. There are various ways of taking this limit: if we fix g then we may keep fixed either $g'/\sqrt{\lambda}$ or $g/\sqrt{\lambda}$.

Let us consider first taking the Higgs mass to infinity with the Z mass. We have already noted that the sphaleron ansatz resembles the electroweak string in the equatorial plane, and that the gauge field abelianizes there. Thus we expect that the width of the tube of Z flux and of the region where the Higgs leaves its vacuum manifold should behave in much the same way as for the string. As we take M_H and M_Z to infinity the width of the flux tube should shrink to zero (relative to M_W). We conjecture that M_W sets the scale of the size of the monopoles, and thus for the size of the sphaleron in the z direction. Thus in the

 $\Theta_W \to \pi/2$ limit with M_Z/M_H fixed we expect the sphaleron to become extremely prolate. Indeed, this limit is equivalent to taking $g \to 0$, with g' and λ fixed, in which we obtain a theory with global symmetries, which has vortex solutions known as semilocal strings [11]. Thus we can say that in this limit the sphaleron turns into a segment of semilocal string. If instead we take g' to infinity while keeping the Higgs mass fixed, then the width of the flux tube should again decrease, albeit much more slowly [12].

If our picture of the magnetic dipole moment is correct, we should expect that it remains proportional to $4\pi \sin \Theta_W/gM_W$, although the shape distortion will change the overall constant. Indeed, to order $(g'/g)^3$ it is found [4] that

$$\mu \simeq \frac{4\pi}{gM_W} 7.0 \left(\frac{g'}{g} - 0.42 \left(\frac{g'}{g} \right)^3 \right). \tag{28}$$

The value for the coefficient of the cubic term compares reasonably well with the expected value 0.5. Numerically [2], it seems that the overall constant increases somewhat as g' is taken to infinity with the Higgs mass fixed. A possible explanation is that the stronger electromagnetic interactions between the poles and the loop are forcing them further apart.

Our definition of the electromagnetic field off the vacuum is not the only one: 't Hooft proposed that we take

$$\mathcal{F}_{ij}^{em} = F_{ij}^{em} - \frac{1}{g} \sin \Theta_W \hat{\phi}^a D_i \hat{\phi}^b D_j \hat{\phi}^c \epsilon^{abc},
= \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i - \frac{1}{g} \sin \Theta_W \hat{\phi}^a \partial_i \hat{\phi}^b \partial_j \hat{\phi}^c \epsilon^{abc}.$$
(29)

In the unitary gauge, where $\hat{\phi}^a$ is constant, this reduces to an appealing form, that of ordinary electromagnetism, which of course has identically zero magnetic charge. However, for the sphaleron we find that we have to face an $O(r^{-2})$ singularity in the magnetic field at the origin, which is not so attractive. It seems better to us to keep physical fields bounded in smooth configurations like the sphaleron: hence our preference for (4).

We are indebted to Nick Manton for many helpful discussions, and in particular for clarifying the issue of the gauge choice for the monopole-antimonopole system and its distinction from the sphaleron. We thank also Stuart Rankin, Ana Achúcarro and Anne-Christine Davis. This work is funded by SERC.

References

- [1] F. Klinkhamer and N. Manton, Phys. Rev. D30, 2212 (1984).
- [2] B. Kleihaus, J. Kunz and Y. Brihaye, Phys. Lett. B273, 100 (1991); B. Kleihaus, J. Kunz and Y. Brihaye, Phys. Rev. D46, 3587 (1992).
- [3] F. Klinkhamer, R. Laterveer, Z. Phys. C 53, 247 (1992).
- [4] M. James, Z. Phys. C 55, 515 (1992).
- [5] Y. Nambu, Nucl. Phys. B130, 505 (1977).
- [6] N. Manton, Nucl. Phys. B126, 525 (1977).
- [7] T. Vachaspati, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1977 (1992).
- [8] T. Vachaspati, Tufts University preprint TUTP-92-12 (1992); T. Vachaspati and M. Barriola, Tufts University preprint TUTP-93-?? (1993).
- [9] S. Coleman, 'Aspects of Symmetry', Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1985).
- [10] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B79, 276 (1974).
- [11] T. Vachaspati and A. Achúcarro, Phys. Rev. D44, 3067 (1991); M.Hindmarsh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1263 (1992).
- [12] E. B. Bogomol'nyi and A. Vainshtein, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 23, 588 (1976); C. T. Hill,
 H. M. Hodges and M. Turner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2493 (1987).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1a. The surface enclosing 75% of the magnetic charge of the sphaleron, in the limit of small Weinberg angle. The roughness of the surface is a numerical artefact. Distances are measured in units of $\xi = 2M_W r$, where M_W is the mass of the W.

Figure 1b. The surface enclosing 75% of the electric current in the sphaleron, again in the small Θ_W limit.



